



# 2024 Ballot Buddy

This document lists candidates for the Colorado General Assembly, as well as limited information about Congressional candidates. Candidates that CVA has endorsed are designated in the right-hand column as a "Pro-Animal Pick." Other Candidates may earn a "Paws Down" for their history of sponsoring anti-animal bills or working against humane legislation. We may not make an endorsement in every race. Our endorsements are non-partisan and we consider several factors including questionnaire responses and voting history.

About the survey: CVA's candidate survey was sent to all General Assembly candidates listed as running in the Secretary of State's Tracer database. Surveys were sent to the email address listed on the official campaign registration record, or for incumbents, to their email address at the Colorado General Assembly. Reminders were sent by both email and postal mail and every candidate was given multiple opportunities and ample time to respond. If the Survey Score column is blank, it indicates that CVA was unable to reach that candidate by our deadline.

**You can find the full text of the survey questions at the end of this document.**

**Key:** 2 points = likely to support CVA's position on the legislation, 1 point = might support it, and 0 points = unlikely to support it.

Although CVA does not survey or endorse congressional candidates, we are passing along what information we have regarding their voting records. Congressional voting scores were compiled by the Humane Society Legislative fund.

U.S. CONGRESS			Voting Record								Notes
Candidates (by last name)	District	Party	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	
DeGette, Diana	1	DEM	79%		57%		91%		100% (so far)		record for Congressional District 3
Neguse, Joe	2	DEM			100%		100%		100% (so far)		
Boebert, Lauren	4	REP					0%		0% (so far)		
Crow, Jason	6	DEM			100%		100%		100% (so far)		2017-2020 CVA record for Colo. legislatue
Pettersen, Brittany	7	DEM	100%	100%	100%	100%			100% (so far)		
Caraveo, Yadira	8	DEM			100%	100%			88% (so far)		2019-2020 CVA record for Colo. House 31



Bandimere, Sam	SD 19	REP							1	2	1	2	2	2	10	83.3%	
Daugherty, Lindsey	SD 19	DEM			100.0%	100.0%	89.0%	100.0%	2	2	2	2	2	2	12	100.0%	<i>Pro-Animal Pick!</i>
VanGundy, Ryan	SD 19	LIB							2	1	1	1	1	1	7	58.3%	
Alfred, Frederick Jr.	SD 21	REP							2	2	2	2	2	2	12	100.0%	
Michaelson Jenet, Dafna	SD 21	DEM	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	1	2	2	2	2	2	11	91.7%	<i>Pro-Animal Pick!</i>
Bobko, Joseph	SD 23	DEM														No Response	(write-in)
Kirkmeyer, Barb	SD 23	REP			0%	50%	60%	57%								No Response	
Bridges, Jeff	SD 26	DEM	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%								No Response	
Lane, Bob	SD 26	REP							1	2	1	2	2	2	10	83.3%	
Ryan, Meredith	SD 26	UNI														No Response	
Espinoza, Pedro	SD 28	REP														No Response	
Weissman, Mike	SD 28	DEM	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%	2	2	2	2	1	2	11	91.7%	<i>Pro-Animal Pick!</i>
Buckner, Janet	SD 29	DEM	100%	100%												No Response	
Aitken, David	SD 31	LIB							2	1	1	1	1	1	7	58.3%	
Hansen, Chris	SD 31	DEM	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%								No Response	<i>Pro-Animal Pick!</i>
Coleman, James	SD 33	DEM														No Response	<i>Pro-Animal Pick!</i>
Minnig, Max	SD 33	REP														No Response	

COLORADO STATE REPRESENTATIVE			Incumbent Voting Record (may be for different offices)						<div><div>Prairie Dogs</div><div>Cosmetics Testing</div><div>Horse Slaughter</div><div>Designer Animals</div><div>Tethering of Dogs</div><div>Rodent Poisoning</div><div>TOTAL (out of 12)</div></div>							Survey Score	Notes
Candidates (by district)	District	Party	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Individual questions								
DeHaan, Barbara	HD 01	REP							1	1	1	2	1	1	6	50.0%	
Mabrey, Javier	HD 01	DEM					78.0%	86.0%								No Response	
DiManna, Michael	HD 02	REP							2	2	2	2	2	1	11	91.7%	
Swanson, James	HD 02	LIB														No Response	
Woodrow, Steven	HD 02	DEM		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%								No Response	Pro-Animal Pick!
Froelich, Meg	HD 03	DEM	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%	100.0%	2	2	2	1	2	2	11	91.7%	Pro-Animal Pick!

Neal, Mickey	HD 03	REP							1	2	2	0	2	2	9	75.0%	
Daus, Jack	HD 04	REP														No Response	
Espenoza, Cecelia	HD 04	DEM							2	2	2	2	2	2	12	100.0%	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Johnson, Johnnie Wesley	HD 05	REP														No Response	
Valdez, Alex	HD 05	DEM	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	2	2	2	2	2	2	12	100.0%	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Camacho, Sean	HD 06	DEM							1	2	2	1	2	2	10	83.3%	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Witter, Kyle	HD 06	REP														No Response	
Bacon, Jennifer	HD 07	DEM			100%	100%	89%	75%	2	2	2	1	1	2	10	83.3%	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Doane, Raymon Anthony	HD 07	LIB														No Response	
Pope, Ben	HD 07	DEM														No Response	(write-in)
Swift, Tom	HD 07	REP							2	2	1	2	1	2	10	83.3%	
Borrelli, Philip	HD 08	REP														No Response	
Gilchrist, Lindsay	HD 08	DEM							1	2	2	1	2	2	10	83.3%	
Parris, Jesse Lashawn	HD 08	UNI														No Response	
Cowhick, Tom	HD 09	REP														No Response	
Sirota, Emily	HD 09	DEM			100%	100%	100%	100%	2	2	1	1	2	2	10	83.3%	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
DeOreo, William	HD 10	REP														No Response	
Joseph, Junie	HD 10	DEM					78%	100%	2	2	1	2	2	2	11	91.7%	
McCormick, Karen	HD 11	DEM			100%	100%	89%	100%	1	2	1	0	1	1	6	50.0%	
Reeves, Kathy	HD 11	REP							1	0	1	0	0	1	3	25.0%	
Brown, Kyle	HD 12	DEM					100%	100%	2	2	2	1	2	2	11	91.7%	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Milliman, Mark	HD 12	REP														No Response	
McCluskie, Julie	HD 13	DEM	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%								No Response	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Williams, Dave	HD 13	REP														No Response	
Gayle, Katherine "Kat"	HD 14	DEM														No Response	
Pugliese, Rose	HD 14	REP					56%	88%								No Response	
Bottoms, Scott	HD 15	REP					25%	43%								No Response	
Livingston, Jeff	HD 15	DEM														No Response	
Keltie, Rebecca	HD 16	REP							1	1	2	1	2	1	8	66.7%	
Vigil, Stephanie	HD 16	DEM					100%	88%	1	2	2	2	2	1	10	83.3%	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
English, Regina	HD 17	DEM					67%	83%	2	2	2	2	2	2	12	100.0%	
Riggs, Elizabeth	HD 17	REP							1	2	2	2	2	2	11	91.7%	
Boelens, James Jr.	HD 18	REP							1	2	2	2	2	2	11	91.7%	
Paschal, Amy	HD 18	DEM							1	2	2	2	2	2	11	91.7%	

McMillan, Jillaire	HD 19	DEM							2	2	1	0	2	2	9	75.0%	
Woog, Dan	HD 19	REP			0%	50%										No Response	
Caldwell, Jarvis	HD 20	REP														No Response	
Dougherty, Arik	HD 20	DEM														No Response	
Bradfield, Mary	HD 21	REP			0%	100%	44.%	75.%	2	2	2	2	2	2	12	100.0%	
Rosenbaum, Liz	HD 21	DEM							1	2	2	2	2	2	11	91.7%	
Campaña, Daniel	HD 22	U														No Response	
DeGraaf, Ken	HD 22	REP					22.%	38.%								No Response	
Pierson, Michael	HD 22	DEM							1	2	2	2	2	2	11	91.7%	
Duran, Monica	HD 23	DEM	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%	2	2	2	2	2	2	12	100.0%	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Ohnesorge, Cory	HD 23	REP														unable to contact	
Feret, Lisa	HD 24	DEM							2	2	1	2	2	1	10	83.3%	
Henderson, Gwen	HD 24	REP														No Response	
Mumma, George Jr.	HD 25	REP														No Response	
Story, Tammy	HD 25	DEM	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	1	2	2	2	2	2	11	91.7%	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Butler, Nathan	HD 26	REP							1	1	1	1	1	1	6	50.0%	
Lukens, Meghan	HD 26	DEM					78%	100%								No Response	
Cox, Ed	HD 27	REP														No Response	
Titone, Brianna	HD 27	DEM	100%	100%	100%	100%	78%	100%	1	1	1	0	2	2	7	58.3%	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Boddie, Peter	HD 28	REP														No Response	
Lieder, Sheila	HD 28	DEM					89%	100%	2	2		1	2	1	8	66.7%	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Bird, Shannon	HD 29	DEM	100%	100%	100%	100%	78%	100%	2	1	2	2	2	2	11	91.7%	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Hunt, Evan Shields	HD 29	REP														No Response	
Johnson, Ramey	HD 30	REP														incomplete	
Stewart, Rebekah	HD 30	DEM														No Response	
Phillips, Jacqueline	HD 31	DEM														No Response	
Pitchforth, Heidi	HD 31	REP							2	1	1	1	0	1	6	50.0%	
Rutinel, Manny	HD 32	DEM						88%	2	2	2	2	2	2	12	100.0%	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Lindstedt, William	HD 33	DEM					100%	100%								No Response	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Martinez, Michael	HD 33	REP							2	2	2	2	2	2	12	100.0%	
Bromley, Mark	HD 34	U							2	2	2	2	2	2	12	100.0%	
Sullivan, Craig	HD 34	REP							1	2	1	2	2	1	9	75.0%	
Willford, Jenny	HD 34	DEM					89%	100%								No Response	
Winkler, Alexander "Skinny"	HD 34	CEN														unable to contact	

Garcia, Lorena	HD 35	DEM					89%	88%	2	2	2	2	2	2	12	100.0%	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Knoll, Lee	HD 35	REP														No Response	
Carter, Michael	HD 36	DEM														No Response	
Mulder, Eric	HD 36	FOR														No Response	
Biehl, Kevin	HD 37	U							2	2	2	2	2	2	11	91.7%	(write-in)
Clifford, Chad	HD 37	DEM						100%								No Response	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Patty, Jeffrey	HD 38	REP														No Response	
Rydin, Gretchen	HD 38	DEM							2	2	2	2	2	2	12	100.0%	
Bradley, Brandi	HD 39	REP					22.2%	50.0%								No Response	
Brody, Eric	HD 39	DEM							1	2	2	1	2	2	10	83.3%	
Gibbs, Darryl	HD 40	REP							2	2	1	2	1	1	9	75.0%	
Ricks, Naquetta	HD 40	DEM			100%	100%	100%	88%								No Response	
Spink, Allison	HD 40	LIB														No Response	
Jodeh, Iman	HD 41	DEM			100%	100%	100%	100%								No Response	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
McKenna, Rob	HD 41	REP							2	2	2	2	2	1	11	91.7%	
Porter, Keith	HD 41	LIB														No Response	
Lindsay, Mandy	HD 42	DEM				100%	89%	100%								No Response	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Bishop, Dustin	HD 42	U														No Response	
Burcham, Matt	HD 43	REP														No Response	
Marshall, Robert	HD 43	DEM					78%	88%	2	1	1	1	2	1	8	66.7%	
Hartsook, Anthony	HD 44	REP					56%	63%								No Response	
Nilemo, Alyssa	HD 44	DEM							1	2	1	2	2	2	10	83.3%	
Brooks, Max	HD 45	REP							1	1	2	2	2	1	9	75.0%	
Cox, Chad	HD 45	DEM														No Response	
Mauro, Tisha	HD 46	DEM					86%	100%								No Response	
Swearingen, Kim	HD 46	REP							2	2	2	2	2	1	11	91.7%	
Bulthuis, Elizabeth	HD 47	DEM							2	2	2	2	2	2	12	100.0%	
Winter, Ty	HD 47	REP					33%	63%								No Response	
Barron, Carlos	HD 48	REP														No Response	
Ferrante, Steve	HD 49	REP														No Response	
Smith, Lesley	HD 49	DEM														No Response	
Gonzalez, Ryan	HD 50	REP														No Response	
Young, Mary	HD 50	DEM		100%	100%	100%	78%	100%	2	2	2	2	2	2	12	100.0%	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
McKeen, Sarah	HD 51	DEM							2	2	2	2	2	2	12	100.0%	

Weinberg, Ron	HD 51	REP					44%	38%									No Response	
Yurash, Steve	HD 52	CEN															No Response	
Zokaie, Yara	HD 52	DEM							2	2	2	1	2	1	10		83.3%	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Boesenecker, Andrew	HD 53	DEM				100%	89%	100%	1	2	2	2	2	2	11		91.7%	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Walter, Donna	HD 53	REP															No Response	
Soper, Matt	HD 54	REP			67%	50%	56%	100%	1	1	0	2	1	1	6		No Response	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Taggart, Rick	HD 55	REP					56%	100%									No Response	
Navetta, Alessandra	HD 56	DEM							1	2	2	2	2	2	11		91.7%	
Richardson, Chris	HD 56	REP							1	1	1	2	0	1	6		50.0%	
Velasco, Elizabeth	HD 57	DEM					75%	100%									No Response	<b>Pro-Animal Pick!</b>
Waller, Caleb	HD 57	REP															No Response	
Curry, Kathleen	HD 58	REP							1	2	1	2	2	1	9		75.0%	
Suckla, Larry	HD 58	DEM															No Response	
Craig, Clark	HD 59	REP															No Response	
Stewart, Katie	HD 59	DEM							1	2	1	1	1	1	7		58.3%	
Green, Kathryn	HD 60	DEM															No Response	
Luck, Stephanie	HD 60	REP			0%	50%	33%	24%									No Response	
Hamrick, Eliza	HD 61	DEM					100%	100%									No Response	
Martinez, Matthew	HD 62	DEM					89%	100%									No Response	
Riggenbach, Carol	HD 62	REP															No Response	
Johnson, Dusty	HD 63	REP															No Response	
Armagost, Ryan	HD 64	REP					56%	75%									No Response	
Matthews, Mark	HD 64	DEM							2	2	1	2	2	2	11		91.7%	
Garcia Sander, Lori	HD 65	REP															No Response	
Walters, Will	HD 65	DEM			0%	100%			2	2	1	2	2	2	11		91.7%	

## Survey Questions:

1. Currently, landowners are prohibited from providing habitat for displaced prairie dogs on their own private land without County Commissioner approval. Are you likely to support legislation that would give landowners the opportunity to participate in decision-making about their own property?

2. Consumers are increasingly rejecting the painful and often unnecessary testing of cosmetic products on animals. Effective non-animal testing methods are available, and often less costly. Currently, 13 states have already banned the sale of cosmetics that were tested on animals. Are you likely to support similar legislation in Colorado?

3. Colorado horses at auctions or from private individuals are often bought by "kill buyers" for the purpose of slaughter and processing into food sold for human consumption in foreign countries. A 2022 poll found 83% of U.S. adults are opposed to such slaughter. Would you be likely to support legislation prohibiting the purchase of horses in Colorado that are intended to be slaughtered for human consumption?

4. Dogs, cats and other animals are intentionally bred with deformities to please consumers. Brachycephalic (flat-faced) animals experience breathing difficulties, eye problems, and dental issues due to distorted facial structures. Other deformities prevent natural birth requiring litters to be delivered by C section. Are you likely to support legislation prohibiting the sale and breeding of brachycephalic animals in Colorado?

5. Tethering involves restraining a dog to an object, such as a tree, post or weight. Citizen complaints include dogs left on tethers for excessive periods, tethers being too short to allow free movement, dogs deprived of adequate food and water, or not having sufficient shelter from extremes in the weather. Would you be likely to support legislation establishing uniform guidelines for the protection of dogs who are tethered?

6. Poison baits used to control rodents often contain anticoagulants that result in death by internal bleeding, which can occur within several days or weeks. Non-target animals including family pets, and wildlife such as foxes, coyotes, owls and eagles, may become sick or die after eating an already poisoned animal. A four-year study published in the journal Clinical Toxicology noted 13,670 cases where children under the age of six had accidentally been poisoned by anticoagulants. Are you likely to support legislation that would prohibit the use of anticoagulants for rodent control?